

Appl. No. 09/683,964
Amdt. dated March 31, 2005
Reply to Office action of January 05, 2005


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In this Office Action, the Examiner states that Claims 6-11 and 17-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening
5 claims.

Without any disclaimer of any kind regarding the merits of any claim as filed, the Applicant has chosen to add the limitations of claim 6 to originally filed claim 1, and to add the limitations of claim 17 to originally filed claim 13. Claims 6 and 17 have been cancelled. No new material has been introduced.

10 It is believed that the specific structures now described in independent claims 1 and 13 represent a new and useful device distinct from known prior art and therefore the current application is now in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner concur, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

15 Respectfully submitted,



Date: March 31, 2005

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526
P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

20 Voice Mail: 302-729-1562
Facsimile: 806-498-6673
e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. The time in D.C.
25 is 13 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 10 PM in Taiwan).